UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,117	01/04/2002	Steven Ausnit	769-222 Div.2	1073	
29540 DAY PITNEY	7590 01/25/2007 LLP		EXAMINER		
7 TIMES SQU.	ARE		SIPOS, JOHN ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK,	NY 10036-7311				
			3721		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTUC	01/25/2007	DADED		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			a li			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	041			
• • • • • •	10/040,117	AUSNIT, STEVEN	AUSNIT, STEVEN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Sipos	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, ma vill apply and will expire SIX (6) I cause the application to becom	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this co e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 (C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 40-42 and 48-50 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	* *					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u> </u>					
6) Claim(s) <u>40-42 and 48-50</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r .					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• , ,	•	R 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·	=				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received i	n Application No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have be	en received in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: __

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/040,117

Art Unit: 3721

The examinations of Reexamination applications 90/007203 and 90/007204 that bear on the patentability of the claims of the instant application have been completed and in each case a Notice of Intent to Issue a Reexam Certificate has been mailed out on amended claims.

Therefore, the rejections made in the last Office action are considered proper and are repeated.

REJECTIONS OF CLAIMS BASED ON PRIOR ART

Claims 40-42 and 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the patent to Thomas (5,713,669). The patent to Thomas discloses the method of opening bags that comprise a slider/zipper and a tamper evident structure. It discloses bags that comprise a package body, zipper closure with two mating profiles (24/28), a slider on the closure (32) for opening and closing the closure and bag, a tamper evident structure (36/38) encasing the zipper closure and perforation line (40). The opening process comprises removing the tamper evident structure along the perforation line and moving the slider to disengage the zipper profiles to open the bag. See column 4, line 6 et seq. of Thomas.

Claims 40-42 and 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '102(e) as being clearly anticipated by the patent to Stolmeier (6,257,763). The patent to Stolmeier discloses the method of opening bags that comprise a slider/zipper and a tamper evident structure. This patent discloses bags that comprise a package body, zipper closure with two mating profiles (70), a slider on the closure (71) for opening and closing the closure and bag, a tamper evident structure (60) encasing the zipper closure and perforation line (72). The opening process comprises removing the tamper evident structure along the perforation line and moving the slider to disengage the zipper profiles to open the bag. See column 3, line 59 et seq.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,117 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

Claims 40 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '102(e) as being clearly anticipated by the patent to Thieman (5,956,924). The patent to Thieman discloses the method of opening bags that comprise a slider/zipper and a tamper evident structure. This patent discloses bags that comprise a package body, zipper closure with two mating profiles (32/34), a slider on the closure (48) for opening and closing the closure/bag and a tamper evident structure (127) encasing the zipper closure. The opening process comprises removing the tamper evident structure and moving the slider to disengage the zipper profiles to open the bag. See column 6, line 6 et seq.

Claims 41,42,49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Thieman (5,956,924) in view of Hustad (5,456,928). The patent to Thomas lacks the use of perforations lines. The patent to Hustad discloses the method of opening bags that comprise a zipper and a tamper evident structure. This patent discloses bags that comprise a package body, zipper closure with two mating profiles (17/18), and a tamper evident structure (integral 21 or separate tape 31) encasing the zipper closure and perforation line (25,33) to ease the removal of the tamper evident structure. The opening process of Hustad comprises removing the tamper evident structure along the perforation line and moving the slider to disengage the zipper profiles to open the bag. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the Thieman bag with perforation lines as shown by Hustad to ease the removal of the tamper evident structure. Note that both the Thieman and Hustad methods use a separate tamper evident tape.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument that since the instant claims were copied from patents wherein these claims were found allowable is not convincing. Upon renewed filing of the claims a complete examination is made of the claims. The examination includes a review of the claims for rejections based on formal matters as well as prior art. The rejections made in the last Office action are considered proper and are maintained.

Contrary to Applicant's argument that Thieman does not appear to remove the tamper evident structure as recited in the claims, Thieman clearly states and shows in Figure 5 the application of a separate structure 127 "over the exterior of slider" (see column 6, line 10). Since the tamper evident structure is placed over the slider it needs to be removed before the slider can be accessed.

Regarding the Stolmeier reference, it is clear from the drawings (for example, Figure 7) and the specification (column 3, line 59 et seq.) that perforations 72 are provided "which facilitates removing the tamper evident sheet 60" thereby allowing access to the slider.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 3721

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner John Sipos at telephone number (703) 308-1882. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM Monday through Thursday.

The FAX number for Group 3700 of the Patent and Trademark Office is (703) 305-3579.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Peter Vo, can be reached at (703) 308-1789.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

John Sipos

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3721